

Proposal Full View

[Print](#)

Applicant Information

Organization Name Sacramento River Watershed Program - *

Tax ID 680486576

Proposal Name Interregional Mercury Plan for the Sacramento River Watershed *

Proposal Objective Develop an Interregional Mercury Plan for the Sacramento River Watershed that will be incorporated into individual IRWMPs. *

Budget

Other Contribution	\$0.00
Local Contribution	\$173,715.00
Federal Contribution	\$0.00
Inkind Contribution	\$0.00
Amount Requested	\$492,403.00 *
Total Project Cost	\$666,118.00 *

Geographic Information

Latitude * DD(+/-) 38 MM 0 SS 0

Longitude * DD(+/-) -120 MM 0 SS 0

These coordinates are approximate for the center of area encompassed by the natural boundary of the Sacramento River Watershed. It is not at the center of any individual IRWM.

Longitude/Latitude Clarification

Location

Sacramento River Watershed

County Modoc,Shasta,Lassen,Tehama,Plumas,Butte,Glenn,Colusa,Lake,Napa,Yolo,Sutter,Yuba,Nevada,Sierra,Placer,El Dorado,Sacramento,Solano *

Ground Water Basin Sacramento Valley-Antelope

Hydrologic Region Sacramento River

Watershed 64, 67, 68, 69, 71, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84

Legislative Information

Assembly District 1st Assembly District,2nd Assembly District,3rd Assembly District,4th Assembly District,5th Assembly District,7th Assembly District,8th Assembly District,9th Assembly District,10th Assembly District,15th Assembly District *

Senate District 1st Senate District,2nd Senate District,4th Senate District,5th Senate District,6th Senate District *

US Congressional District District 1 (CA),District 2 (CA),District 3 (CA),District 4 (CA),District 5 (CA),District 7 (CA),District 10 (CA) *

Project Information

Project Benefits Information

Project Name

Interregional Mercury Plan for

Project Benefit Type	Benefit Type	Measurement
Primary	Water Restoration	10

Budget

Other Contribution	0
Local Contribution	173715
Federal Contribution	0
Inkind Contribution	0
Amount Requested	492403
Total Project Cost	666118

Geographic Information

Latitude DD(+/-)	38	MM 0	SS 0
Longitude DD(+/-)	-120	MM 0	SS 0
Longitude/Latitude Clarification	These coordinates are approximate for the center of area encompassed by the natural bo		

County	Mod
Ground Water Basin	Dora
Hydrologic Region	Act
WaterShed	Cent
	64, t

Legislative Information

Assembly District	1st Assembly District,2nd Assembly District,3rd Assembly District,4th Assembly District,5th Assembly District,7th Assembly District,8th Assembly District,9th Assembly District,10th Assembly District,15th Assembly District
Senate District	1st Senate District,2nd Senate District,4th Senate District,5th Senate District,6th Senate District
US Congressional District	District 1 (CA),District 2 (CA),District 3 (CA),District 4 (CA),District 5 (CA),District 7 (CA),District 10 (CA)

Section : Applicant Information and Question's Tab**APPLICANT INFORMATION AND QUESTION'S TAB****APPLICATION TYPE**

Is this an application for a Regional Planning Grant or an Interregional Planning Grant?

- a) ☐ Regional Planning Grant
b) ☒ Interregional Planning Grant

Q1. PROPOSAL DESCRIPTION

Provide a brief abstract of the Proposal. Please note if the Proposal will facilitate or support the participation of DAC's in the IRWM planning effort.

The objective of this innovative planning effort is to develop an Interregional Mercury Plan for the Sacramento River Watershed. The plan will address mercury issues across a broader scale than individual IRWM Regions while also providing the expertise and planning tools needed to address mercury contamination in the face of pending regulations. This interregional approach will enable mercury source areas to be addressed and cumulative benefits to the Bay Delta to be measured, while promoting coordination, consistency and project development that can be tailored to individual IRWM Plans. The process will also serve as a model for individual IRMW Regions to coordinate on other broad interregional issues. In so doing, this process will provide indirect benefits of improving interregional coordination, collaboration, and capacity for addressing other interregional topics. The Interregional Mercury Plan will update existing research on mercury in the Sacramento River Watershed by focusing on: ??? Needs of multiple IRWM Regions to have consistent, coordinated plans; ??? Integrated projects for addressing mercury pollution; and ??? Tools for complying with forthcoming regulations.

Q2. PROJECT DIRECTOR

Provide the name and details (including email) of the person responsible for executing the grant agreement for the applicant. Persons that are subcontractors to be paid by the grant cannot be listed as the Project Director.

Robert Shanks SRWP Board Chair Sacramento River Watershed Program robert.shanks@att.net; 916-204-5168

Q3. PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Provide the name and contact information (including email) of the Project Manager from the applicant agency or organization that will be the day-to-day contact on this application.

Mary Lee Knecht Executive Director of the Sacramento River Watershed Program 916-549-4017; marylee@sacriver.org

Q4. APPLICANT INFORMATION

Provide the agency name, address, city, state, and zip code of the applicant submitting the application.

Sacramento River Watershed Program P.O. Box 188585, Sacramento, CA 95818

Q5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Based on the region's location, what are the applicable DWR regions (Northern, North Central, South Central, and/or Southern)? The following link can be used to view each DWR region office boundaries:

http://www.water.ca.gov/groundwater/groundwater_basics/gw_contacts_info.cfm

- a) ☒ Northern Region
b) ☒ North Central Region
c) ☐ South Central Region
d) ☐ Southern Region

Q6. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

List the name of the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) in which your project is located. For a region that extends beyond more than one RWQCB boundary, list the name of each Board.
http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/waterboards_map.shtml

Central Valley

Q7. ELIGIBILITY

Does the application represent a single application from an IRWM Planning region approved in the RAP (see section II, Table 1 of the Planning PSP)?

No

If yes, include the name of the IRWM Planning region. If no, explain.

The applicant is a not-for-profit organization proposing this interregional planning grant on behalf of several IRWMs.

Q8. ELIGIBILITY

Is the applicant a local agency or non-profit organization as described in Appendix B of the Guidelines?

Yes

If no, please explain.

N/A, there are no urban water suppliers receiving funding from the proposed grant.

Q9. ELIGIBILITY

List the urban water suppliers that will receive funding from the proposed grant. Those listed must submit self certification of compliance with California Water Code (CWC) §525 *et seq.* and Assembly Bill (AB) 1420 (see Attachment 6 of the Planning Grant PSP). If there are no urban water suppliers, so indicate.

N/A, there are no urban water suppliers receiving funding from the proposed grant.

Q10. ELIGIBILITY

Have all of the urban water suppliers, listed in Q9 above, submitted complete 2005 Urban Water Management Plans (UWMP) to DWR? Have those plans been verified as complete by DWR? If not, explain. Will all of the urban water suppliers listed in Q9, along with any additional urban water suppliers that meet the urban water supplier definition threshold for the first time, submit updated 2010 UWMPs, consistent with the 2010 UWMP Guidebook and verified as complete by DWR, before the execution of a grant agreement? If not, explain.

N/A, there are no urban water suppliers receiving funding from the proposed grant.

Q11. ADOPTION DATE

Identify the adoption date or anticipated adoption date of the IRWM Plan.

9/28/2008

Q12. COMPLETENESS CHECK

Have all of the fields in the application been completed?

Yes

If no, please explain.

Section : Application Attachments Tab**APPLICATION ATTACHMENTS TAB****ATTACHMENT 1: AUTHORIZING DOCUMENTATION**

Upload authorizing documentation here. Ensure file name is consistent with section V of the Planning Grant PSP (disregard the 5 digit pin).

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att1_PG1_AuthDoc_1ofTotal1.pdf

Upload additional authorizing documentation here.

Upload additional authorizing documentation here.

Upload additional authorizing documentation here.

Upload additional authorizing documentation here.

ATTACHMENT 2: ELIGIBLE APPLICANT DOCUMENTATION

Upload eligible applicant documentation here. Ensure file name is consistent with section V of the Planning Grant PSP (disregard the 5 digit pin).

Last Uploaded Attachments: ,Att2_PG1_EligDoc_1ofTotal2.pdf

Upload additional eligible applicant documentation here.

Upload additional eligible applicant documentation here.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att2_PG1_EligDoc_2ofTotal2.pdf

Upload additional eligible applicant documentation here.

Upload additional eligible applicant documentation here.

ATTACHMENT 3: WORK PLAN

Upload the work plan here. Ensure file name is consistent with section V of the Planning Grant PSP (disregard the 5 digit pin).

Upload additional work plan components here.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att3_PG1_WorkPlan_1ofTotal1.pdf

Upload additional work plan components here.

Upload additional work plan components here.

Upload additional work plan components here.

ATTACHMENT 4: BUDGET

Upload Budget here. Ensure file name is consistent with section V of the Planning Grant PSP (disregard the 5 digit pin).

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att4_PG1_BUDGET_1ofTotal1.pdf

Upload additional budget components here.

Upload additional budget components here.

Upload additional budget components here.

Upload additional budget components here.

ATTACHMENT 5: SCHEDULE

Upload schedule here. Ensure file name is consistent with section V of the Planning Grant PSP (disregard the 5 digit pin).

Upload additional schedule components here.

Last Uploaded Attachments: Att5_PG1_SCHED_1ofTotal1.pdf

Upload additional schedule components here.

Upload additional schedule components here.

Upload additional schedule components here.